That, at least, is the argument for What characterisation of Carson as part of our mind, consider the following passages: a concerned reader of her sea books. Carson, who wished to register her naturalist seemed to leave God and is Carson’s response:

It is true that I accept the theory has ever been put forward to explain this earth. As far as I am concerned between a belief in evolution and a method so marvelously conceive and certainly never to diminish Creator and the process.  

Carson was never one to sidestep or to this reader what he wanted to tell us that “no conflict” exists between that studying nature enhances religious roots, but all in all, I don’t believe.

CHILDHOOD RELIGIOUS

Growing up, Carson was surrounded by the religious side of her life. Her grandfather was ordained in the Presbyterian Church. Because married women were permitted to attend Sunday school regularly, she was able to study what was taught in her solid Presbyterian background. She had attended Sunday school regularly.

Presbyterianism is a descendancy of Calvinism. Calvinism tends to have a bad reputation. It is typically portrayed as uselessness of God, and the doctrines of corruption and weakened by sin burden of man. 

The Secular and Religious Sources of Rachel Carson’s Sense of Wonder

Lisa H. Sideris

A few years ago, I presented a paper that touched upon Rachel Carson’s struggle with breast cancer and her determination to finish Silent Spring before she died. The discussion afterward turned to Carson’s religious background and beliefs. A member of the audience, familiar with Carson’s life and work, characterized Carson as “two parts evolutionist, one part fallen Presbyterian.” Perhaps because I am something of a fallen Presbyterian-Darwinian myself, I remembered that phrase and have continued to wonder about these different parts of Rachel Carson. How, if at all, did Carson integrate these aspects of herself? Are there clues to be found in her writing?

Anyone who reads Carson’s work immediately recognizes in it a person of very strong convictions, perhaps religious in nature and origin, though not explicitly so. Often the word “spiritual” is applied to her writing and her worldview. While it is not accurate to depict Carson as such, this characterization is frustratingly vague to scholars of religion. So, here I wish to delve a bit more deeply into Carson’s story—her literary, moral, and theological influences—in search of clues to the kind of spirituality she displayed. Carson’s familial religious roots, as well as the influence of a certain romantic and religiously moralistic genre of children’s literature that she encountered early in life, point to a fairly distinct ethical and spiritual stance, I believe. Carson’s affinity with the theology and ethics of humanitarian and theologian Albert Schweitzer—an affinity she maintained throughout her adult life—forms another important dimension of Carson’s particular type of religiousness.
That, at least, is the argument I intend to make. But first, keeping this characterization of Carson as a part evolutionist, part fallen Presbyterian in mind, consider the following passage from a letter she once wrote to a concerned reader of her sea books. Carson’s correspondent was a conservative Christian who wished to register his disapproval that her observations as a naturalist seemed to leave God and the Bible entirely out of the picture. Here is Carson’s response:

It is true that I accept the theory of evolution as the most logical one that has ever been put forward to explain the development of living creatures on this earth. As far as I am concerned, however, there is absolutely no conflict between a belief in evolution and a belief in God as the creator. . . . And it is a method so marvelously conceived that to study it in detail is to increase—and certainly never to diminish—one’s reverence and awe both for the Creator and the process. ¹

Carson was never one to sidestep controversy, so it is unlikely that she merely told this reader what he wanted to hear. Rather, her two claims here—first that “no conflict” exists between belief in God and evolution, and second, that studying nature enhances reverence—are convictions Carson seems to have held throughout her life. She may have slipped a bit from her religious roots, but all in all, I don’t believe she fell very far.

CHILDHOOD RELIGIOUS AND LITERARY INFLUENCES

Growing up, Carson was surrounded by religious influences. Her maternal grandfather was ordained in the Presbyterian Church and became a pastor in Allegheny City, Pennsylvania. Carson’s mother, Maria McLean, attended seminary at a United Presbyterian institution and later became a school teacher. Because married women were prohibited from teaching, Maria gave up her position when she wed Robert Carson, a somewhat older man who shared her solid United Presbyterian background. Maria and Robert Carson moved to Springdale, Pennsylvania, and joined the Springdale United Presbyterian Church; their daughter Rachel, born in 1907, was christened there and attended Sunday school regularly.²

Presbyterianism is a descendent of the Calvinist branch of Protestantism. Calvinism tends to have a bad reputation among environmentalists, so it is worthwhile to dwell for a moment on some of its key tenets. Calvinist theology is typically portrayed as upholding the radical sovereignty and transcendence of God, and the total depravity and dependence of humans—twin doctrines that seem to leave no vestige of divinity in the natural world. Corrupted and weakened by sin after the Fall, human reason no longer discerns God clearly in nature. Moreover, the belief that one can turn oneself
toward God by turning to nature detracts from God’s unconditioned sovereignty and undermines the primacy of scriptural authority as the source of knowledge of the divine. After the Fall, “the human mind because of its feebleness can in no way attain to God,” Calvin writes in the Institutes of the Christian Religion, “unless it be aided and assisted by his Sacred Word.”

The reform tradition in general, and Calvinism in particular, has always been wary of the danger that natural knowledge of God might make humans—as the knowers—the object of reverence rather than the Creator. In other words, natural theology can quickly turn into idolatry when we search in nature for key indicators of our own importance, or glorify ourselves and our knowledge rather than God. Calvin’s writing displays a deep concern with overweening human pride and vanity. Though we dwell our entire lives in a “workshop graced with God’s unnumbered works,” he writes, we offer no praise to God but are instead “puffed up and swollen with all the more pride.”

Yet Calvin also asserted that natural evidence of the Creator is so overwhelming and ubiquitous that we have “no excuse” for failing to see God all around us. Humans have a “natural instinct” of divinity implanted in our minds. Indeed, one finds in Calvin an endorsement of a painstaking study of nature as a means of glorifying God. Calvin considers science “one of God’s excellent gifts” that ought to evoke awe and gratitude. In this sense, Calvin’s theology contains a subtle and qualified form of natural theology. His qualifications spring from the indisputable fact of human sinfulness: signs of God in nature are compelling and undeniable, but because we have fallen, we can scarcely see them. “It is therefore in vain that so many burning lamps shine for us in the workmanship of the universe to show forth the glory of its Author,” Calvin argues. Our turn to nature is often motivated by reckless curiosity, a desire to find clues to our own existence, and particularly affirmations of our importance. We read nature selectively, and discover merely our own narrow view superimposed on God’s creation. In modern parlance, we might say that our study of nature becomes anthropocentric. In Calvin’s language, the moment we receive a “taste of the divine” we proceed to taint it by glorifying not God but “dreams and specters of our own brains.”

Fortunately, sin leaves us with a correctible myopia rather than total blindness. Calvin resolves the apparent tension between a pious investigation of nature and an idolatrous one by arguing that natural signs of God become fully apparent to us when viewed through eyes of faith. Faith is likened to spectacles that restore proper vision: “the invisible divinity is made manifest in such spectacles, but we have not the eyes to see this unless they be illumined by the inner revelation of God through faith.” In the absence of faith, natural signs never constitute “proof” of God’s existence and the skeptic demanding it will not be granted that clear vision. But for the believer, nature plays a crucial role in evoking a sense of piety and gratitude in

While it would be absurd to advocate some strict adherence to Calvinist themes and principles today. Among these is her basic conviction that nature promotes reverence, mystery, awe at the creation of the world around us. Known by many of the influential figures and educators of the past century, Nature Study was first encouraged by the works of Charles Darwin and the realization that nature is a living organism that is constantly evolving. As part of their elementary school curriculum, children were encouraged to study nature, observing the natural world around them, and to develop an appreciation for the beauty and complexity of nature.

The nature study movement emphasized the importance of outdoor education and encouraged children to explore the natural world around them, observing the flora and fauna, and learning about the environment in which they lived. This approach was seen as a way to foster a deep connection with nature and to develop an appreciation for the beauty and complexity of the natural world. The movement also emphasized the importance of scientific observation and critical thinking, encouraging children to develop a curious and inquisitive mindset.

Nature study was a response to the industrialization of society and the loss of contact with nature that many people experienced. The movement sought to reconnect children with the natural world and to foster an appreciation for the beauty and complexity of nature. The idea was that by spending time in nature, children would develop a deeper understanding of the world around them and a greater appreciation for the natural world. The movement also emphasized the importance of outdoor education and the need for children to be exposed to nature and to develop a connection with the natural world.
plays a crucial role in evoking a sense of God’s overwhelming presence, and a sense of piety and gratitude in response to that presence.

While it would be absurd to suggest that Rachel Carson maintained some strict adherence to Calvinist doctrine and principles, certain quasi-Calvinist themes are evident in her account of nature and human nature. Among these is her basic conviction that a properly oriented study of nature promotes reverence, mystery, and humility—an expanded and clarified vision of the world around us. Knowledge, so long as it remains tethered to piety, never dispels wonder. Yet, human nature being what it is, the study of the natural world, and science generally, can also foster idolatrous and arrogant tendencies that warrant constant vigilance. As our pride swells, the world contracts around us. We lose sight of both reality and mystery. These themes, and others related to them, are likewise present and continuously reinforced by many of the influential figures and texts in Carson’s life.

Of central importance to Carson was her mother. A devout Presbyterian and outdoors enthusiast, Maria encouraged love and respect for nature. Later in life, when writing Silent Spring, Carson would count her mother as a chief ally in her “crusade” (as she termed it) to protect nature from the destructive effects of indiscriminate pesticides. “More than anyone else I know,” Carson reflected, “she embodied Albert Schweitzer’s, ‘reverence for life.’” Religious instruction and love of nature were intimately linked in the particular genre of literature that Carson imbibed as a child. Carson was instructed in the juvenile literature promoted by the nature study movement of the late nineteenth and early twentieth century—often religious, always moralistic, and at times sentimental nature tales replete with woodland fairies, angels, and young, idealistic protagonists. With roots in the Victorian tradition of children’s literature, the nature study movement sought to inculcate moral virtue, civic responsibility, aesthetic appreciation, and respect for life through stories, essays, poems, and especially direct encounters with the natural world. “Embracing the ideas of natural theology that by studying nature, the intricate design of the Creator would become visible, the nature-study movement taught that nature was holy” and its protection “a divine obligation.”

**NATURE STUDY GOALS AND PRINCIPLES**

As part of their elementary schooling, the Carson children received nature study readers compiled by advocates such as Anna Botsford Comstock and Liberty Hyde Bailey of Cornell University. As Lear notes, not only was Maria Carson “the perfect nature-study teacher” but the Carson children had access to a “sixty-four acre laboratory.”

The nature study movement grew out of concern for the moral and spiritual development of children in urban environments who were cut off from
the natural world and agrarian lifestyles. In works such as Comstock’s widely used and encyclopedic Handbook of Nature Study (1911), the movement emphasized such goals as: involvement of the senses; a criticism of “facts only” approaches to study promoted by “specialists”; instilling sympathy with all living things; and the encouragement of natural preservation through such bonds of sympathy.

In The Nature-Study Idea, Bailey defines nature study as a program designed to “open the pupil’s mind by direct observation to a knowledge and love of the common things and experiences in the child’s life and environment.” Proponents of nature study were careful to distinguish it from the mere teaching of biology or natural history. A kind of revolt against formal science, “dry-as-dust science teaching” and “mechanical” memorization, nature study instilled not facts but “spirit.” Teachers sought to avoid rigid instruction on the one hand, and a fall into “mere sentimentalism and gush on the other.” Comstock, for example, acknowledged the teacher’s perennial challenge to “inculcate in the child a reverence for life and yet to keep him from becoming mawkish and morbid.” Teachers and parents were advised to find ways of linking nature study to the child’s other lessons, such as those in math or history, including biblical history. “The study of the grasshopper,” Comstock observes, “brings to the child’s attention stories of the locusts’ invasion mentioned in the Bible, and the stars which witnessed our creation and of which Job sang and the ancients wrote, shine over our heads every night.”

Instilling spirit meant engaging the senses. Children should see, touch, and smell nature prior to reasoning about it. “All the senses should be so trained and adjusted that all our world becomes alive to us,” Bailey urged. Once the senses were awakened, a child’s natural curiosity would lead to closer, more detailed study of nature. But facts introduced too soon and too emphatically might damage the child’s ability to wonder, to sense nature’s mystery. From the child’s perspective, Bailey wrote, there are “elves whispering in the trees…chariots of fire rolling on the long, low clouds at twilight. Wherever it may look, the young mind is impressed with the mystery of the unknown.” Science education should never remove the child’s sense of mystery, for the true scientist loves, and is humbled by, the unknowns. “For every fact that they discover they turn up a dozen mysteries,” Bailey wrote. Knowledge both begins and ends in wonder, if it can be said to end at all. “The consciousness of ignorance is the first result of wonder, and it leads the pupil on and on: it is the spirit of inquiry.” Carson echoes these beliefs in her letter to her Christian critic.

Proponents viewed the development of “nature-sympathy” as progress in civilization. “It is one of the marks of progress of the race,” Bailey wrote, “that we are coming more and more into sympathy with the natural world in which we dwell.” Scientific progress without ethical progress is dangerous and in fact regressive. This too would particularly in Silent Spring.

For some nature study advocates was the expert or specialist who environment, studies and distance of natural relationships kinds of pursuits. The result is study embraced living accuracy emphasized the need for accurate really is, in a phrase that Carson, exposing the child to what Bailey that is, understanding the brooding into details and definition insisted. “He should not be for aged “collecting” of specimens be careful about inflaming a pupil.”

Nature study also called at “extrinsic” knowledge—or what intrinsic value. It opposed purely utilitarian function or usefulness of all things. One must try to imagine the world an exercise in imagination thating of the ocean and its strange serves to reassure us that nature nature is only an interpretation. Intrinsic study is facilitating poetic approaches effectively things to the things themselves between the two cultures. A true nature writing should not be his facts straight. “His poetry is Bailey contends.

OTHER CH
fact regressive. This too would become a central theme of Carson’s works, particularly in *Silent Spring*.

For some nature study advocates, a pernicious product of modern science was the expert or specialist who isolates the living organism from its natural environment, studies and dissects it in the lab, and never grasps the significance of natural relationships or the relationship of nature study to other kinds of pursuits. The result is a kind of “dead accuracy.” By contrast, nature study embraced living accuracy: while discouraging a diet of facts alone, it emphasized the need for accurate knowledge about the world—the world as it really is, in a phrase that Carson would later invoke frequently. This entailed exposing the child to what Bailey calls “things in the large and in relation”—that is, understanding the broad relationships between life forms before delving into details and definitions. A child is “by nature a generalist,” Bailey insisted. “He should not be forced to be a specialist.” Living accuracy discouraged “collecting” of specimens as an end in itself; parents and teachers should be careful about inflaming a passion for hunting and catching organisms.

Nature study also called attention to the difference between “intrinsic” and “extrinsic” knowledge—or what we might today call inherent versus instrumental value. It opposed purely utilitarian knowledge, the search for the extrinsic function or usefulness of all things in nature to humans. The student instead must try to imagine the world from the intrinsic perspective of the organism—an exercise in imagination that Carson would develop into a fine art when writing of the ocean and its strange inhabitants. When the study of nature merely serves to reassure us that nature is designed for our benefit and use, the purpose of nature study is defeated. Bailey writes that the “notion that all things were made for man’s special pleasure is colossal self-assurance. It has none of the humility of the psalmist, who exclaimed, ‘What is man that Thou art mindful of him?’” The lamentable result is that “much of our interpretation of nature is only an interpretation of ourselves”—a sentiment with which Calvin would have agreed.

Intrinsic study is facilitated by blending science and poetry because poetic approaches effectively shift attention from “human interest in natural things to the things themselves.” In general, nature study critiqued the split between the two cultures. A true scientist is a kind of poet but, again, good nature writing should not be mere sentimentalism. Even the poet must have his facts straight. “His poetry is misleading if his observations are wrong,” Bailey contends.

**OTHER CHILDHOOD INFLUENCES**

Besides her exposure to these ideas at home and school, Carson’s interests as a child independently led her to other sources of nature study wisdom.
One was the writer Gene Stratton-Porter; another was the children’s magazine _St. Nicholas_.

Novelist and naturalist, Gene Stratton-Porter was a childhood favorite of Carson’s—an “apostle of the nature-study movement who believed that through nature a child was led to God.” Born in Wabash County, Indiana, in 1863, Porter was an avid bird-watcher and outdoorswoman. Her books were popular, romantic, wholesome works, geared largely toward women and girls, and full of “woodlore, birdlife, and salubrious prescriptions for home happiness.” She was particularly interested in the domestic habits of birds, their “nest building, diet, and social behavior,” but had little taste for dry, academic, or statistical study. Her father was a preacher in the local Methodist church, but she described her own religious affiliation as membership in “the Big Cathedral of the Woods, where God furnishes music and sermons of His own making.”

From an early age, Carson shared Stratton-Porter’s interest in the “home-life” and habits of birds. Carson may also have read one of Stratton-Porter’s most enchanting nonfiction works, _Birds of the Bible_ (1909). A religious ornithology of sorts, the book exemplifies well the nature study conviction that the lyrical and the scientifically accurate are inseparable. In _Birds of the Bible_, Stratton-Porter takes readers on a tour of the Bible, illustrating the relevance and accuracy of the biblical authors’ eloquent observations about birdlife. At times she interjects a defense of certain birds and a plea for their protection, particularly predatory birds considered to be “abominations” in the Bible (the same bird species that, decades later, would be threatened with extinction by DDT). “Herons are beautiful birds,” she insists, “and do no harm in any way that I can think, so they should be protected rigorously.”

_Birds of the Bible_ opens with a number of bird photographs, including one of a fossilized Archaeopteryx, the beloved bird of evolutionists in search of a “transitional form” with which to refute biblical creationists. Stratton-Porter apparently saw nothing incongruous in featuring Archaeopteryx so prominently in a work on biblical birds; the caption beneath the photo bears the words of the Apostle Peter, “One day is with the Lord as a thousand years, and a thousand years as one day.” Her mission as a writer and naturalist was fueled by the nature study conviction that science should awaken and increase, never deplete, our capacity for wonder and mystery. Scientific investigation “reaches the hearts of things we want to know, how matter and life originated”; yet at a certain point it invariably reaches “a granite wall...and there science may search, climb, and batter until it is worn out, but the answer never comes.” Carson might not have put the point so bluntly, but she embraced the mystery that continually outstrips science and is at the same time the inspiration for further research.

Carson’s mother subscribed to a number of children’s magazines, and _St. Nicholas_ was a favorite with Rachel. Throughout her childhood and early adolescence, Carson was an avid reader. Published by the Society of Friends, a Quaker organization, _St. Nicholas_ was an educational magazine. Published by the Society of Friends, a Quaker organization, _St. Nicholas_ was an educational magazine. An important goal of the Society of Friends was to educate young people in the Quaker values of simplicity, peace, and equality. Carson was fascinated by the stories in _St. Nicholas_, which often featured Quaker characters and themes. She particularly enjoyed the stories depicting the natural world, which often served as a source of inspiration for her own writing.

In 1925 Carson entered the college of her choice, a Quaker-affiliated school that met with the approval of her parents. The college was located in a rural setting and emphasized the importance of education in shaping a virtuous life. Believing that any education worth having should enable students to judge the good from the bad, Carson was eager to attend the college and pursue a course of study that would prepare her for a life of service to others. In short, she wrote, college would “change my life” and lead her to a path of service to others.

Carson’s spiritual adventure began with a course in English to one section of the college catalog. Believing that any education worth having should enable students to judge the good from the bad, Carson was eager to attend the college and pursue a course of study that would prepare her for a life of service to others. In short, she wrote, college would “change my life” and lead her to a path of service to others.

Carson’s spiritual adventure began with a course in English to one section of the college catalog. Believing that any education worth having should enable students to judge the good from the bad, Carson was eager to attend the college and pursue a course of study that would prepare her for a life of service to others. In short, she wrote, college would “change my life” and lead her to a path of service to others.
adolescence, Carson was an avid reader and eventually a contributor to this magazine. Published by the St. Nicholas League—St. Nicholas being, of course, a protector of children—the magazine undertook an indirect moral education of the young, not by commanding morality outright but through developing sympathy from within. Literature published in St. Nicholas exemplified the romantic-realist perspective of nature study, attempting to inculcate moral teaching and encourage sympathy through stories and poems about the natural world. Facts alone were thought to inhibit the child’s natural moral sympathies.

An important goal of the St. Nicholas League was the “protection of the oppressed, whether human or dumb creatures.” Authors who published in St. Nicholas while young include E. E. Cummings, F. Scott Fitzgerald, and E. B. White (author of Charlotte’s Web). At the age of eleven, White published a story depicting a natural world in which no harm would come to what he called “God’s innocent little folk”—a story that Maria Carson might well have read to young Rachel. Carson submitted stories and essays to St. Nicholas, as well as other publications associated with nature and humane movements such as Our Animal Friends, and soon joined St. Nicholas’ distinguished group of child authors. In one of these stories, she describes her “favorite recreation” of going “bird-nesting.” Carson continued to submit essays to magazines throughout her teen years and eventually determined that she would enroll in college with a major in English.

COLLEGE YEARS: A SPIRITUAL ADVENTURE

In 1925 Carson entered the Pennsylvania College for Women (PCW), a school that met with the approval of both Maria and Rachel Carson as a Christian college with a good reputation. Carson arrived at PCW with high ideals regarding the goals of education and high expectations of herself. Believing that any education worth pursuing should include moral education, she wrote in an early essay that college should give one a “sense of values—the ability to judge the good from the bad, the worthwhile from the unprofitable.” In short, she wrote, college should be “a spiritual adventure.”

Carson’s spiritual adventure at PCW eventually culminated in a switch from a major in English to one in science. Looking back on her college years and her choice of science, Carson later remarked, “I had given up writing forever, I thought. It never occurred to me that I was merely getting something to write about” (“apparently,” she adds, “it didn’t occur to any of my advisors, either.”) It’s worth noting that while Carson felt torn between literature and her growing love of science, she apparently sensed no similar conflict between religion and science. The combined teachings of Presbyterianism and the romantic realism of nature study had taken root. Shortly after arriving at
PCW, Carson submitted an English composition entitled "Who I Am and Why I Came to PCW." Her self-portrait expresses her ties both to religion and nature—as well as the sense of confidence and independence that would mark all her endeavors. Carson describes herself as "a Presbyterian, Scotch-Irish by ancestry, and a graduate of a small, but first class high school....I love all the beautiful things of nature," she continues, "and the wild creatures are my friends." She adds that she chose PCW as "a Christian college founded on ideals of service and honor." The only requirement at PCW that consistently received Carson's "approval and compliance," Lear notes, "was to attend church on Sunday." It's not clear that Carson attended religious services with any kind of regularity after leaving college, though she had contact off and on with the Unitarian church.

After PCW, Carson pursued a master's degree in zoology at Johns Hopkins, graduating in 1932; following that she maintained for many years a grueling schedule of working for the Fish and Wildlife Service while supporting and caring for her mother, sister, and nieces, and churning out articles and books in her "spare" time. By the mid-1950s Carson had published three books about the sea—Under the Sea-Wind, The Sea Around Us, and The Edge of the Sea—and along the way, became successful enough as a writer to resign from her government job and devote herself to writing full-time.

As many readers have noted, Carson's three sea books embody perfectly a blending of poetic and scientific writing. She receives consistent praise for her ability to translate scientific data into magic and enchantment. In a statement reminiscent of Bailey's nature study philosophy, Carson once remarked that if there was poetry in her writing about the sea it was not "because I deliberately put it there, but because no one could write truthfully about the sea and leave out the poetry."

Humans, when they appear in Carson's marine narratives, are often a destructive or insignificant presence. For example, in a chapter of The Sea Around Us, Carson describes the precariousness of island environments such as the Galapagos, and the dangers posed by introduced species. In an intriguing twist on biblical stories, she depicts an Edenic island setting with tame, docile creatures lacking natural fear of humans being threatened by a perverse Noah's ark that annihilates species rather than saving them from destruction.

[Man] has destroyed environments by cutting, clearing, and burning; he has brought with him as a chance associate the nefarious rat; and almost invariably he has turned loose upon the islands a whole Noah's Ark of goats, hogs, cattle, dogs, cats, and other non-native animals as well as plants. Upon species after species of island life, the black night of extinction has fallen."

Perhaps this somewhat cryptic allusion is Carson's way of reminding readers of the biblical precedent for preserving rather than destroying species.

On the whole, the insightful and timeless forces of nature, was a distinctly "Jobian" perspective in the biblical story of Job with its continuing wonder and awe for natural presence. God. Many readers responded with a sense of the vastness of the universe," wrote one. Another said that so many of our man-made problems..."
On the whole, the insignificance of humans, compared with the vast, timeless forces of nature, was a comforting thought to Carson. One finds a distinctly “Jobian” perspective in some of her writing—a perspective that recalls the biblical story of Job with its decentralization of humans, and expressions of wonder and awe for natural processes that are fully comprehended only by God. Many readers responded favorably to these themes. “I am overwhelmed with a sense of the vastness of the sea, and properly humble about our goings-on,” wrote one. Another said that Carson’s expansive vision “helps one reduce so many of our man-made problems to their proper proportions.”

SPUTNIK, SCHWEITZER, AND SILENT SPRING

The belief that knowledge of nature engenders humility and reverence was, for Carson, an article of faith, with roots in the religion of her childhood and the nature literature she loved. But it was a belief that would be challenged by so-called advances in science and technology in the years following World War II. In articulating and defending her shock-worn convictions, Carson often turned to the theology of Albert Schweitzer and his account of reverence for life. She would also dedicate herself to an expose of human arrogance—namely, Silent Spring.

By the late 1950s, Carson had begun to think seriously about writing a book on the misuse of pesticides, particularly DDT. A number of events and people influenced Carson’s decision to mount a full-scale critique of human destructiveness toward nature. Among these was the launching of Sputnik in 1957.

For Carson, Sputnik changed the world in ways that were immediately and profoundly disturbing. “But what a strange future we all have to face!” she wrote to her friend Dorothy Freeman shortly after the launch. “It seems to me all I have ever said or believed has lost much of its meaning in the light of recent events.” Carson had been thinking of writing a book on the broad theme of life in relation to its environment, a book about evolution and ecology, but had been mentally “blocked.” Sputnik galvanized and gave direction to her ideas. For Carson, Sputnik represented a kind of technology capable of undermining the Jobian vision of humans, nature, and God that she had long taken for granted. In a remarkable letter to Dorothy in February, 1958, she outlines these views and describes her comfort in the belief—increasingly threatened—that the forces of nature remain vast, mysterious, and beyond the control of humans. She also expresses her fear that the idolatrous and arrogant tendencies of science have triumphed over humility and wonder, with humans now usurping a divine role.

It was pleasant to believe...that much of Nature was forever beyond the tampering reach of man—he might level the forests and dam the streams,
but the clouds and the rain and the wind were God’s.... It was comforting to suppose that the stream of life would flow on through time in whatever course that God had appointed for it.... And to suppose that, however the physical environment might mold Life, that Life could never assume the power to change drastically—or even destroy—the physical world. These beliefs have almost been part of me as long as I have thought about such things.... I still feel there is a case to be made for my old belief that as man approaches the "new heaven and the new earth"—or the space-age universe, if you will, he must do so with humility rather than arrogance.... Of course, in pre-Sputnik days, it was easy to dismiss so much as science-fiction fantasies. Now the most farfetched schemes seem entirely possible of achievement. And man seems actually likely to take into his hands—ill-prepared as he is psychologically—many of the functions of "God."94

Rather than devote a book solely to these themes, as she had once intended, Carson folded them into an even more urgent project which would become Silent Spring.

As is noted frequently, Silent Spring contains a dedication to Schweitzer, "who said ‘Man has lost the capacity to foresee and to forestall. He will end by destroying the earth.’"95 Carson cites him again in her second chapter where she depicts pesticides moving "mysteriously" through groundwater, and mutating, through an "alchemy of air and sunlight," into new, even deadlier poisons. She concludes the passage with a quote from Schweitzer: "Man can hardly even recognize the devils of his own creation."96

Several years before Silent Spring was published, Life magazine sent Carson an advance copy of a feature on Schweitzer for her comment. Schweitzer had recently been awarded a Nobel Prize and Carson was impressed with him. "We must talk about him sometime," she wrote to Dorothy. "I think he is an extremely significant figure—his Reverence for Life philosophy is of course somewhat like my own," she added.97 Later, battling cancer while working to streamline her arguments in Silent Spring, Carson would look to Schweitzer for an example of what she hoped to achieve: "I want it to be a much shortened and simplified statement, doing for this subject (if this isn’t too presumptuous a comparison) what Schweitzer did.... for the Allied subject of radiation."98 She achieved her aims: in 1963, the Animal Welfare Institute awarded Carson their Albert Schweitzer Medal.

Much of Schweitzer’s ethic toward life is articulated in a two-volume work called Philosophy of Civilization (1923). Schweitzer once said that throughout his life he felt a strong sense of compassion for animals, and as a child, could never understand why bedtime prayers should include only humans.99 He grew up in Alsacia, the son of a Lutheran minister, and took advanced degrees in philosophy and theology before deciding, at the age of thirty, to study medicine. He eventually earned a degree in tropical medicine and set off to do missionary work, service to others—all kinds of medical work for life.100

Schweitzer, like L. H. Bailey, recognized the importance of the ethical person accepts self-imposed injury whenever possible; he calls "will to live" continually for life: "I am life which wills to be genuine ethic of life does not interest as being valuable, nor associate such interest. Life as such is the conviction—and the conviction of Bailey—that scientific invest to a sense of mystery. He was the same man who states but the man who never fully captured the essence of the unlearned person captivated in his life, is unmoved by the mystery, and his ability to describe some never grasps life with a capital and knowledge will result in "intoxicated by progress in discovering ourselves about man’s progress.
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The Secular and Religious Sources

God's... It was comforting through time in whatever I suppose that, however the life could never assume the physical world. These I have thought about such an old belief that as man in arrogance... Of course, such as science-fiction fantastically possible of achieving his hands—ill-prepared as God."

as she had once intended, a project which would become dedication to Schweitzer, to forestall. He will end by her second chapter where through groundwater, and startled into new, even deadlier from Schweitzer: “Man can
published, Life magazine sent Schweitzer for her comment. Nobel Prize and Carson was sometime,” she wrote to her letter—his Reverence-for-man, she added. Later, bat- the arguments in Silent Spring, example of what she hoped to simplified statement, doing (a comparison) what summer." She achieved her permission to Albert

eventually in a two-volume Schweitzer once said that invitation for animals, and as a man should include only the in minister, and took making, at the age of in tropical medicine and set off to do missionary work in Africa. The inspiration for his lifelong service to others—all kinds of others—grew out of a doctrine he eventually termed reverence for life.50

Schweitzer, like L. H. Bailey, feared that progress in civilization was rapidly outstripping progress in sympathy, or moral progress. He argued that the ethical person accepts self-imposed constraints to help all life and avoid causing injury whenever possible.51 Each living thing is an instance of what he calls “will to live” continually coming into contact with others similarly willing: “I am life which wills to live, in the midst of life which wills to live.”52 A genuine ethic of life does not “ask how far this or that life deserves one’s interest as being valuable, nor, beyond that, whether and how far it can appreciate such interest. Life as such is sacred.”53 Schweitzer shared Carson’s conviction—and the conviction of nature study advocates such as Stratton-Porter and Bailey—that scientific investigation reinforces sympathy for life, and adds to a sense of mystery. He was likewise suspicious of the specialist who accumulates facts but misses the wonder of life. In Schweitzer’s view, science could never fully capture the essence of life—“what life is, no science can tell us.” An unlearned person captivated by nature’s beauty and wonder “knows more truly than the learned one who studies under the microscope...[one] who, with all his knowledge of the life-course of these manifestations of the will-to-live, is unmoved by the mystery.”54 Such a scientist is “puffed up with vanity” at his ability to describe some mere “fragment of life,” Schweitzer argues, but never grasps life with a capital L, the essence of life.55 Pride in our own power and knowledge will result in our own destruction, he warns; humans are “intoxicated by progress in discovery and invention...we forgot to trouble ourselves about men’s progress in spirituality.”56

The image of humans drunk with knowledge and power is one Carson often evoked in later years. In a speech entitled “The Real World Around Us,” Carson similarly describes man as “intoxicated with his own power,” and suggests that the remedy may well lie in focusing more attention on the inseparable wonder and reality of our world.57

Carson’s concern for life extended beyond wild nature to animals in farms and laboratories, and her debt to Schweitzer is perhaps most apparent here. Much of her advocacy for humane treatment of these animals went on behind the scenes but Carson also wrote an introduction to a booklet published by the Animal Welfare Institute, a group wanting to implement changes in high school biology experiments with animals. Echoing Schweitzer, Carson writes there, the “essence of life is lived in freedom” and not something that can be isolated and understood in the artificial environment of the laboratory.58 Drawing on a theme from the nature study literature of her childhood, Carson also insists that a child should first encounter organisms in the wild, in their true relations, prior to laboratory study, if an “awareness and reverence for the wholeness of life” are to develop.59
But perhaps what impressed Carson most was Schweitzer’s insistence that ethical orientation must involve a strong activist component. Sacrifice to others, Schweitzer wrote, is not merely “intellectual” but carries an “impulse to action.” None of us is exempt from acting for life. “While so much ill-treatment of animals goes on,” Schweitzer wrote, “we all share the guilt.” Carson’s lifelong sense of “Calvinistic responsibility and civic obligation,” as Lear terms it, prepared her well to receive the message of Schweitzer’s life and work. Carson adamantly defends this vision of ethical citizenship—in the Calvinist sense—in her foreword to Ruth Harrison’s Animal Machines, a Silent Spring-like exposé of the horrors of factory farms. Here, near the end of her life, Carson does not mince words. She delivers a sermon that indicts the twin evils of technological idolatry and citizens’ failure to take action on behalf of animal life. “The modern world,” she writes, “worships the gods of speed and quantity, and of the quick and easy profit, and out of this idolatry monstrous evils have arisen.” The general public is complicit in these horrors, and can no longer “rest secure in a childlike faith that ‘someone’ is looking after things.” She concludes with a warning that human beings will never know real peace until we recognize the “Schweizerian ethic that embraces decent consideration for all living creatures—a true reverence for life.”

**A SENSE OF WONDER AS CORRECTED VISION**

The specialist is the bête noire of Silent Spring. Numerous passages castigate the tunnel vision of specialists such as the chemical engineer peddling pesticides and urging the world to “beat its plowshares into spray guns”—a phrase that surely rings a bell for readers with even rudimentary knowledge of scripture! “This is an era of specialists,” she writes, “each of whom sees his own problem and is unaware of or intolerant of the larger frame into which it fits.”

During her college years, as she first experienced pressures to choose an area of specialization, Carson briefly sensed an incompatibility between her love of literature and her love of science; later she would understand that science gave her something to write about. Her final book, published posthumously, reflects most clearly Carson’s convictions that science and poetry, fact and fancy, knowledge and mystery, belong together, reinforce one another, and are crucial for a child’s early development as a generalist. Originally entitled “Help Your Child to Wonder” and later named The Sense of Wonder, this little book is firmly within the nature study tradition of Gene Stratton-Porter, Anna Comstock, and L. H. Bailey. It also sounds a distinctly Schweizerian note.

Carson’s decision to write this book grew out of experiences of sharing her love and knowledge of nature with her grandnephew Roger, whom she adopted after his mother died in 1957. The Sense of Wonder is a distillation of many of the nature study themes in her life when she was a child. It has endorsed the sort of indirect method of factual information such as the tentative to the goal of creating a deep-seated curiosity that is sparked, however details. She emphasizes the importance of memorizing facts, as the most exciting nature.

If facts are the seeds that later emotions and the impressions of seeds must grow. The years of soil. Once the emotions have been excited by the seed, the action or love—then we wish for a rational response.

She likewise discourages collecting as the “game of identification”—as she writes, “I count it of little use” or whatsoever seen” without once glimpsing the “true instinct for what is beautiful before we reach adulthood.”

The loss of a natural instinct of our vision—is reminiscent of Carl Kores’s natural knowledge. Here and elsewhere, impaired vision corresponds to, or impotence, a compulsion to value and idolatrous displacement. Nature offers a correction, encouragement.

To be sure, Carson does not urge us to advocate faith as a means of evoking the theology of the tradition—long been looking through the window. “We have looked first at problems of a day or a year; to view, we have looked outward at the earth is so minute a part.” Carson and discover our place in the amazing as it really is. This, in a nutshell, was...
Schweitzer's insistence that "sacrifice to life" but carries an "impulse to life." While so much ill-treat- us, we all share the guilt." 21 duty and civic obligation," as passage of Schweitzer's life and ethical citizenship—of voca- tion to Ruth Harrison's Animal farm of factory farms. Here, in words. She delivers a sermon on the public's failure to take the \"world,\" she writes, \"worships \"tick and easy profit, and out of the \"general public is complicit in her childlike faith that \"some- times with a warning that human- recognize the \"Schweizerian ethic of \"living creatures—a true reverence \"for the body and the spirit, a true reverence for the \"beauty of the earth, a true reverence for the \"power of the soul.

CORRECTED VISION

Many passages castigate the \"local \"engineer peddling pesticides \"spray gun\"—a phrase that \"reminds \"of knowledge of scripture! each of whom sees his own problem \"in frame into which it fits.\" Experienced pressures to choose an \"end \"incompatibility between her later she would understand that sci- ence. Her final book, published posthu- mously that science and poetry, fact \"together, reinforce one another, and \"as a \"generalist. Originally entitled \"The Sense of Wonder,\" this little edition of Gene Stratton-Porter, Anna \"is a distinctly Schweizerian note. \"grew out of experiences of sharing \"her grandnephew Roger, whom she \"The Sense of Wonder is a distillation of many of the nature study themes that undoubtedly shaped her own view of life when she was a child. It brings Carson's life and work full circle. She \n
If facts are the seeds that later produce knowledge and wisdom, then the emotions and impressions of the senses are the fertile soil in which the seeds must grow. The years of early childhood are the time to prepare the soil. Once the emotions have been aroused—a sense of the beautiful, the excitement of the new and the unknown, a feeling of sympathy, pity, admira- tion or love—then we wish for knowledge about the object of our emo- tional response.\" She likewise discourages collecting and labeling of organisms—what she calls \"the game of identification\"—as the goal. \"If it becomes an end in itself,\" she writes, \"I count it of little use.\" One could compile an \"extensive list of crea- tures seen\" without once glimpsing the mystery and \"wonder of life,\" she notes.\" Carson laments that most adults have suffered a loss of vision, and have developed an inability to see nature as it really is, to see it through eyes of wonder. \"It is a misfortune that for most of us that clear-eyed vision, that true instinct for what is beautiful and awe-inspiring, is dimmed and even lost before we reach adulthood.\" The loss of a natural instinct for reverence—this narrowing and dimming of our vision—is reminiscent of Calvin's interpretation of the effects of sin on natural knowledge. Here and elsewhere in Carson's writings, constrained and impaired vision corresponds to, or may lead to, an inflated sense of human importance, a compulsion to value all things in relation to ourselves, an arrog- ant and idolatrous displacement of God. Openness to the bigger picture of nature offers a correction, encouraging humility and reverence.

To be sure, Carson does not urge us to repent and turn to God, nor does she advocate faith as a means of correction, but her language nevertheless evokes the theology of the tradition in which she was raised. Humans have for \"too long been looking through the wrong end of the telescope,\" Carson writes. \"We have looked first at man with his vanities and greed, and at his problems of a day or a year; and then only, and from this biased point of view, we have looked outward at the earth and at the universe of which our earth is so minute a part.\" Carson simply asks us to reverse the telescope and discover our place in the amazing universe we actually inhabit, the world as it really is. This, in a nutshell, was her religion.
Rachel Carson died of metastatic breast cancer in the spring of 1964. Fact is sometimes stranger than fiction, as Carson certainly knew, and her own story ends in a peculiar religious twist. Her close friends were aware of her wish to be cremated, and for her ashes to be scattered along the Sheepscot River of her adopted coastal Maine. She had requested a simple service at a Unitarian church, suggesting to one Reverend Howlett that he read from the concluding pages of The Edge of the Sea. Her final wishes were overridden by her controlling older brother Robert who insisted on a large funeral at Washington National Cathedral with a "traditional burial service according to the Book of Common Prayer"; Carson’s remains, Robert insisted, would be placed alongside her mother’s grave. Eventually a bizarre compromise was struck and half of her ashes were buried next to her mother, the other half scattered over the waters at Sheepscot by Dorothy Freeman, as Carson had wished.

This struggle over Carson’s body, and perhaps her soul, may appear sadly symbolic of her tireless efforts to unite those things—knowledge and wonder, fact and poetry, reason and emotion, even evolutionism and Presbyterianism—that humans seem determined to drive apart. But Carson might have taken a more reverential, and hopeful, view of this final partitioning of her remains between land and water. After all, as she stressed repeatedly, the dividing line between land and sea is itself an illusion that falls away when we expand our vision. The sea encircles us always; no separation exists in the world as it really is. Such was her view, as she expressed it in the final passage of The Sea Around Us:

the sea lies all about us.....The continents themselves dissolve and pass to the sea, in grain after grain of eroded land.....In its mysterious past it encompasses all the dumb origins of life and receives in the end, after, it may be, many transmutations, the dead husks of that same life. For all at last return to the sea—to Oceanus, the ocean river, like the ever-flowing stream of time, the beginning and the end.19
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Rachel Carson stands as a figure whose concern with the health and beauty of our environment has been a constant feature of every discussion of nature's beauty and our responsibility to protect it. Carson's writings have had a profound influence on the environmental movement, and her ideas have been influential in shaping the way we think about the relationship between humans and the natural world.

During the mid-twentieth century, a new generation of environmentalists emerged, among them Carol Dubois. Dubois's work has been instrumental in advancing our understanding of the ways in which humans can live in harmony with nature. Her research has helped to highlight the importance of preserving natural habitats and the need to protect biodiversity.

In her book "Silent Spring," Carson's warnings about the dangers of chemical pesticides and the impact they can have on the environment and human health were groundbreaking. Her legacy continues to inspire a new generation of environmentalists to work towards a more sustainable future.

In conclusion, the work of Rachel Carson and other early environmentalists has laid the foundation for the modern environmental movement. Their dedication to protecting the natural world and ensuring a sustainable future for all will continue to inspire us for generations to come.