

Classroom Committee Type III/IV Room Renovation Ranking Chart, Spring 1998

Return to Beverly Teach, ISS, Franklin Hall 0001 by April 17, 1998, or bring to April 9 Classroom Committee meeting. Tabulated results will be presented at the May meeting.

Bldg/Rm	Physical Attributes	Physical Condition	Building Factor	Technology Use Factor	Utilization Factor	Subjective Factor	Comments
BH 003							
BH 005							
BH 006							
BH 103							
BH 109							
BH 204							
BH 304							
BH 310							
BH 330							
BH 347							
EP 220							
FA 015							
JH 239							
OP 105							
SW 007							
SW 119							
WH 100							
WH 101							

Ranking Scales

Physical Attributes

3=Fixed seating, technology set up awkward
 2=T-A seating, middle size
 1=T-A seating, smaller size

Technology Use Factor

4.5=High use
 3=Average use
 1.5=Limited use

Physical Condition

9=Poor
 6=Average
 3=Good

Utilization Factor

3=<.4
 2=.4-.7
 1=>.7

Building Factor (% rooms w/tech)

6=No technology rooms (0%)
 4=<30%
 2=>30%

Subjective Factor (your personal opinion)

3=high priority
 2=average priority
 1=low priority

Comments

Note any special comments, such as “needs complete renovation,” “only new lighting needed,” “needs new lighting and seats.” This will help establish the committee’s sense of overall scope in each room. Use back or another sheet if necessary.